



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,398	04/30/2005	Charles C. Hart	2395-USP-PCT-US	9071
21378	7590	08/16/2007		
APPLIED MEDICAL RESOURCES CORPORATION 22872 Avenida Empresa Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688			EXAMINER	
			NEAL, TIMOTHY J	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3731				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/16/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/533,398	HART, CHARLES C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Timothy J. Neal	3731

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2007.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-28 and 39-49 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-21 and 39-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 22-28, 44-49 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the amendments filed on 5/07/2007 and the Request for Continued Examination filed on 5/31/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-28 and 44-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hart et al. (US 6,139,555).

Hart '555 discloses a shaft (12), a pair of jaws (30), a handle (50 and 52), a sliding member (56), and slots in the jaws (38). The other claims are considered functional and Column 2 Line 22 supports the Examiner's position that the Hart device is capable of performing these operations. Hart '555 does not specifically disclose the claimed shape of the sliding member. The Examiner considers the modification of the shape of the sliding member to be within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art. Hart's device includes a cylindrical sliding member. The claimed rectangular shape, although not stated by the Applicant, is simply designed to engage both jaw members. The Examiner also notes that other than providing necessary function, for

example the sliding member should be shaped so that engages both jaws and not only one jaw, there is no particular advantage to the design. Therefore, the Examiner considers the claimed shapes to be obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made and would be incorporated into Hart's applier in a predictable manner.

Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hart et al. (US 6,139,555) in view of Hart et al. (US 6,258,105).

Hart '555 discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. Hart does not disclose the shape of the jaw members as claimed. Hart '105 discloses jaws (30) and a sliding member (58) in which the jaws have a width larger than their height and a height less than the height of the sliding member (figures 1-7). Hart '105 is relied on mostly as a reference to show that the relative size of jaws to sliding members as claimed is not novel. As stated above in regards to the claimed shape of the sliding member, the claimed shape of the jaws is not disclosed as being critical. Again, the modification of shape is within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hart's jaw structure to include the claimed structure as shown in Hart '105. Generally, modifying jaw structure in a clip applier is done so that the jaws will be shaped to work with the particular clips being used in the procedure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant has amended the claims to include features not specifically disclosed by Hart. The Applicant has argued that Hart does not disclose the particular shapes of the sliding member and jaws. The claimed shapes are not given criticality by the Applicant. The shapes are not disclosed as having some advantage and the shape of the sliding member and jaws does not change the operation of the device. One having ordinary skill in the art would be able to modify Hart's device to include such shapes without changing the operation of Hart's device. This leads the Examiner to the conclusion that the shapes of these parts of the device are not critical, and the Examiner does not consider the amended claims to be patentable over the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Neal whose telephone number is (571) 272-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LoAn Thanh can be reached on (571) 272-4966. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TJN



LOAN H. THANH
PRIMARY EXAMINER